COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5315-02 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1396

Subject: Fees; Sewers and Sewer Districts

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 7, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal limits premiums or fees charged for sewage or wastewater

treatment in St Charles County.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue			
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 5315-02 Bill No. HB 1396 Page 2 of 4 February 7, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Local Government	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)

L.R. No. 5315-02 Bill No. HB 1396 Page 3 of 4 February 7, 2012

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of the Secretary of State, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Economic Development - Public Service Commission and Department of Economic Development - Office of the Public Counsel each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the County of St Charles did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this proposal limits the premiums or fees charged in the County of St Charles for sewage or wastewater treatment. Oversight also assumes that this proposal could have a negative impact to political subdivisions within St Charles County if they are currently charging higher fees than what would be allowed under this proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISION	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
<u>Loss</u> - Potential loss of wastewater fees.	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 to</u> (Unknown)

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 5315-02 Bill No. HB 1396 Page 4 of 4 February 7, 2012

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill prohibits any city, town, village, sewer district, or water supply district in St. Charles County that does not actually process or treat sewage or wastewater but pays a premium or fee to another entity for the service from charging and collecting its customers a premium or fee of more than 25% of the premium or fee it pays without a majority vote of the qualified voters.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Economic Development
Public Service Commission
Office of the Public Counsel

NOT RESPONDING

County of St Charles

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 7, 2012